



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

BY FIRST CLASS MAIL

JAN - 8 2013

Lisa Teschler, Treasurer
Committee to Re-Elect Trent Franks to Congress
P.O. Box 8105
Glendale, AZ 85312

RE: MUR 6710
(formerly RR 12L-24)

Dear Ms. Teschler:

In the normal course of carrying out its supervisory responsibilities, the Federal Election Commission (the "Commission") became aware of information suggesting the Committee to Re-Elect Trent Franks to Congress (the "Committee") and you, in your official capacity as treasurer, may have violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"). On June 1, 2012, the Committee was notified that it was being referred to the Commission's Office of the General Counsel for possible enforcement action under 2 U.S.C. § 437g. On December 18, 2012, the Commission found reason to believe that the Committee and you, in your official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(b), a provision of the Act. Enclosed is the Factual and Legal Analysis that sets forth the basis for the Commission's determination.

13044331124


13044331125

In the meantime, this matter will remain confidential in accordance with 2 U.S.C. §§ 437g(a)(4)(B) and 437g(a)(12)(A) unless you notify the Commission in writing that you wish the matter to be made public.

Please note that the Committee has a legal obligation to preserve all documents, records, and materials relating to this matter until notified that the Commission has closed its file in this matter. See 18 U.S.C. § 1519.

We look forward to your response.

On behalf of the Commission,



Ellen L. Weintraub
Chair

Enclosures
Factual and Legal Analysis

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

RESPONDENTS: Committee to Re-Elect Trent Franks
to Congress and Lisa Teschler in her
official capacity as treasurer

MUR 6710
(formerly RR 12L-24)

I. INTRODUCTION

This matter was generated based on information ascertained by the Federal Election Commission (the "Commission") in the normal course of carrying out its supervisory responsibilities. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(2). The Reports Analysis Division ("RAD") referred the Committee to Re-Elect Trent Franks to Congress and Lisa Teschler in her official capacity as treasurer (the "Committee") to the Office of the General Counsel ("OGC") for failing to disclose additional debt of \$299,800.71 and disbursements of \$11,923.28, an aggregate increase in activity of \$311,723.99. In total, the Committee filed seven incomplete disclosure reports with the Commission from 2010 to 2011. The Committee has asserted that the reporting discrepancies were a result of a former employee who "was not familiar with the process of reporting debts and did not know to use the Schedule D, as this was the first time we were required to report on this form." Letter from Committee to FEC, Miscellaneous Form 99 at 1 (June 20, 2011); see also Letter from Letter from Lisa Teschler, Treasurer of Committee, to the FEC at 2 (July 13, 2012) (hereinafter "Response").

Based on the available information, the Commission finds reason to believe that the Committee violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(b) by failing to disclose receipts and disbursements accurately in reports to the Commission.

13044331126

II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

A. Factual Background

The Committee is the principal campaign committee of Trent Franks, U.S. Representative for Arizona's 8th Congressional District. The Committee timely filed its 2009 Year End, 2010 April Quarterly, 2010 July Quarterly, 2010 12-Day Pre-Primary, 2010 October Quarterly, 2010 30-Day Post-General, and 2011 October Quarterly Reports.

Between 2009 and 2011, the Committee incurred debt in connection with a contract it entered into with Base Connect, a mail house provider, to provide mailing services. After being hired by the Committee, Base Connect, in turn, hired subcontractors to assist in handling the mailing services and managing a separate bank account in relation to these services. Base Connect deposited the money from these mailing services to a separate bank account and submitted a quarterly report to the Committee. The Committee used the data from the quarterly report to generate the financial activity reports filed with the FEC. The first three Quarterly Reports in 2009 reported the debt on a Schedule D. In contrast, beginning with the Year End Report in 2009, Base Connect submitted reports to the Committee disclosing no Schedule D debt. In April 2011, a Base Connect Consultant found the omission of the Schedule D debt in the 2010 filings, which triggered an investigation and decision by the Committee to amend all the reports, starting with the original report in 2009, to reflect the Schedule D debt.

The Committee asserts that this self-disclosure was done in good faith upon learning the omission of the Schedule D debt. On August 2, 2011, Lisa Teschler contacted the Committee's RAD Analyst about submitting a Miscellaneous Form 99 with information about the undisclosed increased debts. At the RAD Analyst's direction, the Committee reconciled the Committee's financial activity and amended its reports. The Committee filed several amendments to each of

13044331127

these reports, which disclosed additional activity not included in the original reports, as reflected in the chart below.¹

Report	Date of Amendment	Increased Debt	Increased Disbursements	Total
2009 Year End	October 12, 2011	\$55,020.29	NA	\$55,020.29
2010 April Quarterly	November 1, 2011	\$70,658.75	NA	\$70,658.75
2010 July Quarterly	November 4, 2011	\$44,167.60	NA	\$44,167.60
2010 12-Day Pre-Primary*	November 7, 2011	\$18,488.95	NA	\$18,488.95
2010 October Quarterly*	November 8, 2011	\$78,938.26	NA	\$78,938.26
2010 30-Day Post-General	November 11, 2011	\$32,526.86	NA	\$32,526.86
2011 October Quarterly	November 15, 2011	NA	\$11,923.28	\$11,923.28
	TOTAL	\$299,800.71	\$11,923.28	\$311,723.99

On June 1, 2012, RAD referred the Committee to OGC for, among other things, failing to disclose additional debt totaling \$299,800.71 and disbursements totaling \$11,923.28.

In response to the Referral, on July 13, 2012, the Committee acknowledged its errors but noted "this self-disclosure was done in good faith" and later noted that the committee "made every good faith effort to communicate, correct and comply with the FEC openly and honestly when errors were discovered." Response at 2-3. The Committee explained that the reporting discrepancies resulted from the failure of a former employee to accurately report its debt to Committee staff, as well as several underlying errors created by its vendor, Base Connect.

The Committee asserts that, upon this discovery, it immediately notified RAD that it had failed to report its debt. See July 13, 2012 Committee Response at 2-3. To remedy the discrepancies, the Committee states that it completed a comprehensive, voluntary, internal investigation of all campaign disbursements from 2009 through 2011. See December 30, 2011 Committee Miscellaneous Form 99. The Committee hired a consultant to perform a full,

¹ Two of the reports, as indicated with an asterisk (*) were election sensitive.

unlimited audit of the Committee's books and FEC reports. *Id.* In accordance with the consultant's advice, the Committee filed amendments to FEC reports from 2009 through 2011. *See* July 13, 2012 Committee Response at 2-3; December 30, 2011 Committee Miscellaneous Form 99. The Committee asserts that it instituted new controls on its financial accounts and amended its accounting and reporting procedures to prevent such errors from recurring. *Id.* The Committee promised to report "any and all activities of the Committee in accordance with legal and ethical standards." July 13, 2012 Committee Response at 3.

B. Legal Analysis

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"), requires committee treasurers to file reports of receipts and disbursements in accordance with the provisions of 2 U.S.C. § 434. *See* 2 U.S.C. § 434(a)(1); 11 C.F.R. § 104.1(a). These reports must include, *inter alia*, the total amount of receipts and disbursements. *See* 2 U.S.C. § 434(b); 11 C.F.R. § 104.3. The Act also requires committees to disclose itemized breakdowns of receipts and disbursements and to disclose the name and address of each person who has made any contribution or received any disbursement in an aggregate amount or value in excess of \$200 within the calendar year, together with the date and amount of any such contribution or disbursement. *See* 2 U.S.C. § 434(b)(2)-(6); 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(b)(3)-(4). Similarly, committees are required to report the amount and nature of outstanding debts and obligations owed by or to the committee. 2 U.S.C. § 434(b)(8); 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(d).

Here, the Committee did not comply with the Act's reporting requirements when it failed to disclose \$299,800.71 in debt and \$11,923.28 in disbursements on its seven original reports listed above. Accordingly, the Commission finds reason to believe that the Committee violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(b).

13044331129